Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

Nuclear Physics B Proceedings Supplement 00 (2015) 1–5

Nuclear Physics B Proceedings Supplement

www.elsevier.com/locate/procedia

arXiv:1412.6536v2 [hep-th] 25 Feb 2015

International Workshop "Hadron Structure and QCD" (HSQCD'2014)

Pomeron in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

A. V. Kotikov

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia

Abstract

We review the result for the BFKL Pomeron intercept at $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Supersymmetric Yang-Mills model in the form of the inverse coupling expansion $j_0 = 2-2\lambda^{-1/2}-\lambda^{-1}+1/4\,\lambda^{-3/2}+2(3\zeta_3+1)\,\lambda^{-2}+(18\zeta_3+361/64)\,\lambda^{-5/2}+(39\zeta_3+447/32)\,\lambda^{-3}+O(\lambda^{-7/2})$, which has been calculated in [1]-[5] with the use of the AdS/CFT correspondence.

© 2011 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Keywords: Pomeron intercept, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Supersymmetric Yang-Mills model

1. Introduction

Pomeron is the Regge singularity of the t-channel partial wave [6] responsible for the approximate equality of total cross-sections for high energy particle-particle and particle-antiparticle interactions valid in an accordance with the Pomeranchuck theorem [7]. In QCD the Pomeron is a colorless object, constructed from reggeized gluons [8].

The investigation of the high energy behavior of scattering amplitudes in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Supersymmetric Yang-Mills (SYM) model [9, 10, 11] is important for our understanding of the Regge processes in QCD. Indeed, this conformal model can be considered as a simplified version of QCD, in which the next-to-leading order (NLO) corrections [12] to the Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov (BFKL) equation [8] are comparatively simple and numerically small. In the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM the equations for composite states of several reggeized gluons and for anomalous dimensions (AD) of quasi-partonic operators turn out to be integrable at the leading logarithmic approximation [13, 14]. Further, the eigenvalue of the BFKL kernel for this model has the remarkable property of the maximal transcendentality [10]. This property gave a possibility to calculate the AD γ of the twist-2 Wilson operators in one [15], two [10, 16], three [17], four [18, 19] and five [20] loops using the QCD results [21] and the asymptotic Bethe ansatz [22] improved with wrapping corrections [19] in an agreement with the BFKL predictions [9, 10].

On the other hand, due to the AdS/CFT-correspondence [23, 24, 25], in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM some physical quantities can be also computed at large couplings. In particular, for AD of the large spin operators Beisert, Eden and Staudacher constructed the integral equation [26] with the use the asymptotic Bethe-ansatz. This equation reproduced the known results at small coupling constants and is in a full agreement (see [27, 28]) with large coupling predictions [29, 30].

With the use of the BFKL equation in a diffusion approximation [9, 11], strong coupling results for AD [29, 30] and the pomeron-graviton duality [31] the Pomeron intercept was calculated at the leading order in the inverse coupling constant (see the Erratum[1] to the paper [17]). Similar results were obtained also in Ref. [2]. The Pomeron-graviton duality in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM gives a possibility to

construct the Pomeron interaction model as a generally covariant effective theory for the reggeized gravitons [32].

Below we review the strong coupling corrections to the Pomeron intercept $j_0 = 2 - \Delta$ in next orders. These corrections were obtained in Refs. [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] [1] (see also a short review in [33]) with the use of the recent calculations [34]-[37] of string energies.

2. BFKL equation at small coupling constant

The eigenvalue of the BFKL equation in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM model has the following perturbative expansion [9, 10] (see also Ref. [11])

$$j - 1 = \omega = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi^2}\left[\chi(\gamma_{\rm BFKL}) + \delta(\gamma_{\rm BFKL})\frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2}\right], \quad \lambda = g^2 N_c, \tag{1}$$

where λ is the t'Hooft coupling constant. The quantities χ and δ are functions of the BFKL anomalous dimension

$$\gamma_{\rm BFKL} = \frac{1}{2} + i\nu \tag{2}$$

and are presented below [9, 10]

$$\chi(\gamma) = 2\Psi(1) - \Psi(\gamma) - \Psi(1-\gamma), \tag{3}$$

$$\delta(\gamma) = \Psi''(\gamma) + \Psi''(1-\gamma) + 6\zeta_3 - 2\zeta_2\chi(\gamma) - 2\Phi(\gamma) - 2\Phi(1-\gamma)\,. \tag{4}$$

Here $\Psi(z)$ and $\Psi'(z)$, $\Psi''(z)$ are the Euler Ψ - function and its derivatives. The function $\Phi(\gamma)$ is defined as follows

$$\Phi(\gamma) = 2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k+\gamma}\beta'(k+1)\,, \tag{5}$$

where

$$\beta'(z) = \frac{1}{4}\left[\Psi'\left(\frac{z+1}{2}\right) - \Psi'\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\right]. \tag{6}$$

Due to the symmetry of ω to the substitution $\gamma_{\rm BFKL} \to 1 - \gamma_{\rm BFKL}$ expression (1) is an even function of ν

$$\omega = \omega_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(-1)^m D_m \nu^{2m}\,, \tag{7}$$

where

$$\omega_0 = 4\ln 2\,\frac{\lambda}{4\pi^2}\left[1 - \overline{c}_1\frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2}\right] + O(\lambda^3)\,, \tag{8}$$

$$D_m = 2\left(2^{2m+1} - 1\right)\zeta_{2m+1}\frac{\lambda}{4\pi^2} + \frac{\delta^{(2m)}(1/2)}{(2m)!}\,\frac{\lambda^2}{64\pi^4} + O(\lambda^3)\,. \tag{9}$$

According to Ref. [10] we have

$$\overline{c}_1 = 2\zeta_2 + \frac{1}{2\ln 2}\left(11\zeta_3 - 32{\rm Ls}_3\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) - 14\pi\zeta_2\right) \approx 7.5812\,, \tag{10}$$

where (see [38])

$${\rm Ls}_3(x) = -\int_0^x \ln^2\left|2\sin\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)\right| dy\,. \tag{11}$$

Due to the Möbius invariance and hermicity of the BFKL hamiltonian in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, the expansion (7) is valid also at large coupling constants. In the framework of the AdS/CFT correspondence the BFKL Pomeron is equivalent to the reggeized graviton [31]. In particular, in the strong coupling regime $\lambda \to \infty$

$$j_0 = 2 - \Delta\,, \tag{12}$$

where the leading contribution $\Delta = 2/\sqrt{\lambda}$ was calculated in Refs. [1, 2]. Below we show the several terms in the strong coupling expansion of the Pomeron intercept, following studies in [3, 4, 5].

3. AdS/CFT correspondence

Due to the energy-momentum conservation, the universal AD of the stress tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ should be zero, i.e.,

$$\gamma(j = 2) = 0. \tag{13}$$

It is important, that the AD γ contributing to the Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi equations [39] does not coincide with $\gamma_{\rm BFKL}$ appearing in the BFKL equation. They are related as follows [12, 40]

$$\gamma = \gamma_{\rm BFKL} + \frac{\omega}{2} = \frac{j}{2} + i\nu\,, \tag{14}$$

where the additional contribution $\omega/2$ is responsible in particular for the cancelation of the singular terms $\sim 1/\gamma^3$ obtained from the NLO corrections (1) to the eigenvalue of the BFKL kernel [12]. Using above relations one obtains

$$\nu(j = 2) = i\,. \tag{15}$$

[1] The coefficients $\sim \lambda^{-1}$ and $\sim \lambda^{-3/2}$ contain an opposite sing in the original version of Ref. [3].

As a result, from eq. (7) for the Pomeron trajectory we derive the following representation for the correction Δ (12) to the graviton spin 2

$$\Delta \;=\; \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} D_m. \tag{16}$$

According to (12) and (16), we have the following small-ν expansion for the eigenvalue of the BFKL kernel

$$j-2 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} D_m \left((-\nu^2)^m - 1\right), \tag{17}$$

where ν^2 is related to γ according to eq. (14)

$$\nu^2 = -\left(\frac{j}{2} - \gamma\right)^2. \tag{18}$$

On the other hand, due to the ADS/CFT correspondence the string energies E in dimensionless units are related to the AD γ of the twist-two operators as follows [24, 25][2]

$$E^2 = (j-2\gamma)^2 - 4 \tag{19}$$

and therefore we can obtain from (18) the relation between the parameter ν for the principal series of unitary representations of the Möbius group and the string energy E

$$\nu^2 = -\left(\frac{E^2}{4} + 1\right). \tag{20}$$

This expression for ν^2 can be inserted in the r.h.s. of Eq. (17) leading to the following expression for the Regge trajectory of the graviton in the anti-de-Sitter space

$$j-2 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} D_m \left[\left(\frac{E^2}{4} + 1\right)^m - 1\right]. \tag{21}$$

4. Pomeron intercept

We assume, that eq. (21) is valid also at large j and large λ in the region $1 \ll j \ll \sqrt{\lambda}$, where the strong coupling calculations of energies were performed [34, 37]. These energies can be presented in the form [3]

$$\frac{E^2}{4} \;=\; \sqrt{\lambda}\,\frac{S}{2}\left[h_0(\lambda) + h_1(\lambda)\frac{S}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + h_2(\lambda)\frac{S^2}{\lambda}\right] + O\left(S^4\right), \tag{22}$$

where

$$h_i(\lambda) \;=\; a_{i0} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{im}}{\lambda^{m/2}}\,. \tag{23}$$

The contribution $\sim S$ can be extracted directly from the Basso result [35] taking $J_{an} = 2$ according to [36]:

$$h_0(\lambda) = \frac{I_3(\sqrt{\lambda})}{I_2(\sqrt{\lambda})} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} = \frac{I_1(\sqrt{\lambda})}{I_2(\sqrt{\lambda})} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\,, \tag{24}$$

where $I_k(\sqrt{\lambda})$ is the modified Bessel functions. It leads to the following values of coefficients a_{0m}

$$a_{00} \;=\; 1,\;\; a_{01} \;=\; -\frac{1}{2},\;\; a_{02} \;=\; a_{03} \;=\; \frac{15}{8},$$
$$a_{04} \;=\; \frac{135}{128},\;\; a_{04} \;=\; -\frac{45}{32}\,. \tag{25}$$

The contribution $\sim S^2$ has been evaluated recently in [5]. It leads to the following values of coefficients a_{1m} (ζ_3 and ζ_5 are the Euler ζ-functions)

$$a_{10} \;=\; \frac{3}{4},\;\; a_{11} \;=\; \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{1}{8} - \zeta_3\right),$$
$$a_{12} \;=\; -\frac{9}{4}\left(\zeta_3 + \frac{3}{8}\right),$$
$$a_{13} \;=\; \frac{3}{16}\left(\zeta_3 + 20\zeta_5 - \frac{37}{2}\right). \tag{26}$$

The coefficients a_{im} for $m \geq 2$ are currently not known. However, as it was discussed in [5], from an analysis of classical energy, with semi-classical corrections, it is in principle possible to extract the coefficients a_{0m} and a_{1m} for all m values. For $m = 2$, we have [5]

$$a_{20} \;=\; -\frac{3}{16},\;\; a_{21} \;=\; \frac{15}{8}\left(\zeta_3 + \zeta_5 - \frac{17}{60}\right). \tag{27}$$

Comparing the l.h.s. and r.h.s. of (21) at large j values gives us the coefficients D_m (see Appendix

[2] Note that our expression (19) for the string energy E differs from a definition, in which E is equal to the scaling dimension Δ_{sc}. But eq. (19) is correct, because it can be presented as $E^2 = (\Delta_{sc} - 2)^2 - 4$ and coincides with Eqs. (45) and (3.44) from Refs. [24] and [25], respectively.

[3] Here we put $S = j - 2$, which in particular is related to the use of the angular momentum $J_{an} = 2$ in calculations of Refs [34, 37].



Fig. 1. (color-online). The results for j_0 as a function of z ($\lambda = 10^z$).

A in [4]) and Δ in eq. (28) below.

To obtain an unified expression for the position of the Pomeron intercept j_0 for arbitrary values of λ, an interpolation between weak and strong coupling regimes has been used in [4]. Following the method of Refs. [16, 17, 41], it is possible to write a simple algebraic equation for $j_0 = j_0(\lambda)$ whose solution will interpolate j_0 for the full λ range (see ref. [4]). [4]

On Fig. 1, we plot the Pomeron intercept j_0 as a function of the coupling constant λ. The behavior of the Pomeron intercept j_0 is similar to that found in QCD with some additional assumptions (see ref. [43]). Indeed, for the often-used values $0.1 \div 0.3$ of OCD strong coupling constant α_s (i.e., respectively, for the values $z = 0.5 \div 1$ in Fig. 1) we have the predictions $j_0 = 0.15 \div 0.3$ for the pomeron intercept j_0 in QCD. It corresponds to the j_0 values commonly used in QCD phenomepology (see, for example, [44]-[48] and the reviews [49] and references therein).

5. Conclusion

We have shown the intercept of the BFKL Pomeron in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM at weak coupling regime and demonstrated an approach to obtain its values at strong couplings (for details, see refs. [4] and [5]).

[4] We note here the recent paper [42], where the leading BFKL results [8] have been reproduced from integrability. The method presented in [42] could be used to build the numerical pomeron intercept for finite λ values.

At $\lambda \to \infty$, the correction Δ for the Pomeron intercept $j_0 = 2 - \Delta$ has the form

$$\Delta = \frac{2}{\lambda^{1/2}}\left[1 + \frac{1}{2\lambda^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{8\lambda} - \left(1 + 3\zeta_3\right)\frac{1}{\lambda^{3/2}} - \left(\frac{361}{128} + 9\zeta_3\right)\frac{1}{\lambda^2} - \left(\frac{447}{64} + \frac{39}{2}\zeta_3\right)\frac{1}{\lambda^{5/2}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^3}\right)\right]. \quad (28)$$

Note that the corresponding expansion at strong couplings for the Odderon intercept in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM has been obtained recently in [50].

Acknowledgments.

The work was supported by RFBR grant No.13-02-01005-a. Author thanks the Organizing Committee of International Workshop ”Hadron Structure and QCD” (HSQCD’2014) for invitation.

References

[1] A. V. Kotikov, L. N. Lipatov, A. I. Onishchenko and V. N. Velizhanin, Phys. Lett. B **632** (2006) 754 [arXiv:hep-th/0404092v5].
[2] R. C. Brower, J. Polchinski, M. J. Strassler and C. I. Tan, JHEP **0712** (2007) 005 [arXiv:hep-th/0603115].
[3] M. S. Costa, V. Goncalves and J. Penedones, JHEP **2012** (2012) 091 [arXiv:1209.4355 [hep-th]].
[4] A. V. Kotikov and L. N. Lipatov, Nucl. Phys. B **874** (2013) 889 [arXiv:1301.0882 [hep-th]].
[5] N. Gromov, F. Levkovich-Maslyuk, G. Sizov and S. Valatka, JHEP **1407** (2014) 156 [arXiv:1402.0871 [hep-th]].
[6] G. F. Chew and S. C. Frautschi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **7** (1961) 394; V. N. Gribov, Sov. Phys. JETP **14** (1962) 1395 [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **41** (1961) 1962]; Nucl. Phys. **22** (1961) 249.
[7] I. Ya. Pomeranchuk, Sov. Phys. JETP **7** (1958) 499 [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **34** (1958) 725]; L. B. Okun and I. Ya. Pomeranchuk, Sov. Phys. JETP **3** (1956) 307 [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **30** (1956) 424].
[8] L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **23** (1976) 338; V. S. Fadin, E. A. Kuraev and L. N. Lipatov, Phys. Lett. B **60** (1975) 50; E. A. Kuraev, L. N. Lipatov and V. S. Fadin, Sov. Phys. JETP **44** (1976) 443; E. A. Kuraev, L. N. Lipatov and V. S. Fadin, Sov. Phys. JETP **45** (1977) 199; I. I. Balitsky and L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **28** (1978) 822; I. I. Balitsky and L. N. Lipatov, JETP Lett. **30** (1979) 355.
[9] A. V. Kotikov and L. N. Lipatov, Nucl. Phys. **B582** (2000) 19.
[10] A. V. Kotikov and L. N. Lipatov, Nucl. Phys. **B661** (2003) 19; in: *Proc. of the XXXV Winter School*, Repino, S’Peterburg, 2001 (hep-ph/0112346).
[11] V. S. Fadin and R. Fiore, Phys. Lett. B **661** (2008) 139 [arXiv:0712.3901 [hep-ph]]; V. S. Fadin, R. Fiore

and A. V. Grabovsky, Nucl. Phys. B **831** (2010) 248 [arXiv:0911.5617 [hep-ph]]. I. Balitsky and G. A. Chirilli, Phys. Lett. B **687** (2010) 204 [arXiv:0911.5192 [hep-ph]].

[12] V. S. Fadin and L. N. Lipatov, Phys. Lett. B **429** (1998) 127; G. Camici and M. Ciafaloni, Phys. Lett. **B430** (1998) 349.

[13] L. N. Lipatov, Phys. Lett. B **309** (1993) 394; *High energy asymptotics of mult-color QCD and exactly solvable lattice models*, hep-th/9311037, unpublished.

[14] L. N. Lipatov, talk at "Perspectives in Hadronic Physics", *Proc. of Conf. ICTP*, Triest, Italy, May 1997.

[15] L.N. Lipatov, in: *Proc. of the Int. Workshop on very high multiplicity physics*, Dubna, 2000, pp.159-176; L. N. Lipatov, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **99A** (2001) 175; F. A. Dolan and H. Osborn, Nucl. Phys. B **629** (2002) 3 [arXiv:hep-th/0112251].

[16] A. V. Kotikov, L. N. Lipatov and V. N. Velizhanin, Phys. Lett. B **557** (2003) 114 [arXiv:hep-ph/0301021].

[17] A. V. Kotikov, L. N. Lipatov, A. I. Onishchenko and V. N. Velizhanin, Phys. Lett. B **595** (2004) 521 [arXiv:hep-th/0404092].

[18] A. V. Kotikov, L. N. Lipatov, A. Rej, M. Staudacher and V. N. Velizhanin, J. Stat. Mech. **0710** (2007) P10003 [arXiv:0704.3586 [hep-th]].

[19] Z. Bajnok, R. A. Janik and T. Lukowski, Nucl. Phys. B **816** (2009) 376 [arXiv:0811.4448 [hep-th]].

[20] T. Lukowski, A. Rej and V. N. Velizhanin, Nucl. Phys. B **831** (2010) 105 [arXiv:0912.1624 [hep-th]].

[21] S. Moch, J. A. M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, Nucl. Phys. B **688** (2004) 101 [arXiv:hep-ph/0403192].

[22] N. Beisert and M. Staudacher, Nucl. Phys. B **727** (2005) 1 [arXiv:hep-th/0504190]; M. Staudacher, JHEP **0505** (2005) 054 [arXiv:hep-th/0412188].

[23] J. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231; Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38** (1998) 1113.

[24] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B **428** (1998) 105.

[25] E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253.

[26] N. Beisert, B. Eden and M. Staudacher, J. Stat. Mech. **0701** (2007) P021 [arXiv:hep-th/0610251].

[27] M. K. Benna, S. Benvenuti, I. R. Klebanov and A. Scardicchio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98** (2007) 131603 [arXiv:hep-th/0611135]; A. V. Kotikov and L. N. Lipatov, Nucl. Phys. B **769** (2007) 217 [arXiv:hep-th/0611204].

[28] B. Basso, G. P. Korchemsky and J. Kotanski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** (2008) 091601 [arXiv:0708.3933 [hep-th]].

[29] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. B **636** (2002) 99 [arXiv:hep-th/0204051].

[30] S. Frolov and A. A. Tseytlin, JHEP **0206** (2002) 007 [arXiv:hep-th/0204226]; R. Roiban, A. Tirziu and A. A. Tseytlin, JHEP **0707** (2007) 056 [arXiv:0704.3638 [hep-th]].

[31] J. Polchinski and M. J. Strassler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88** (2002) 031601 [arXiv:hep-th/0109174]; JHEP **0305** (2003) 012 [arXiv:hep-th/0209211].

[32] L. N. Lipatov, Phys. Part. Nucl. **44** (2013) 391 [arXiv:1105.3127 [hep-th]].

[33] A. V. Kotikov and L. N. Lipatov, "Pomeron in the N=4 SYM at strong couplings", in: *Proc. of the International Moscow Workshop on Phenomenology of Particle Physics devoted to the memory of Prof. Alexei Kaidalov*, Moscow, 2013 (arXiv:1401.0639 [hep-th]).

[34] N. Gromov, D. Serban, I. Shenderovich and D. Volin, JHEP **1108** (2011) 046 [arXiv:1102.1040 [hep-th]].

[35] B. Basso, "An exact slope for AdS/CFT," arXiv:1109.3154 [hep-th]; "Scaling dimensions at small spin in N=4 SYM theory," arXiv:1205.0054 [hep-th].

[36] N. Gromov and S. Valatka, JHEP **1203** (2012) 058 [arXiv:1109.6305 [hep-th]].

[37] R. Roiban and A. A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B **848** (2011) 251 [arXiv:1102.1209 [hep-th]].

[38] L. Lewin, Polylogarithms and Associated Functions (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1981).

[39] V. N. Gribov and L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **15** (1972) 438; V. N. Gribov and L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **15** (1972) 675; L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **20** (1975) 94; G. Altarelli and G. Parisi, Nucl. Phys. **B126** (1977) 298; Yu. L. Dokshitzer, Sov. Phys. JETP **46** (1977) 641.

[40] G. P. Salam, JHEP **9807** (1998) 019 [arXiv:hep-ph/9806482]. Acta Phys. Polon. B **30** (1999) 3679 [arXiv:hep-ph/9910492].

[41] Z. Bern, M. Czakon, L. J. Dixon, D. A. Kosower and V. A. Smirnov, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 085010 [arXiv:hep-th/0610248].

[42] M. Alfimov, N. Gromov and V. Kazakov, arXiv:1408.2530 [hep-th].

[43] A. M. Stasto, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 054023 [arXiv:hep-ph/0702195]; Acta Phys. Polon. B **38** (2007) 3795 [arXiv:0801.0437 [hep-ph]].

[44] S. J. Brodsky, V. S. Fadin, V. T. Kim, L. N. Lipatov and G. B. Pivovarov, JETP Lett. **70** (1999) 155 [hep-ph/9901229]; JETP Lett. **76** (2002) 249 [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **76** (2002) 306] [hep-ph/0207297].

[45] H. Abramowicz, E. M. Levin, A. Levy and U. Maor, Phys. Lett. B **269** (1991) 465; A. V. Kotikov, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **56** (1993) 1276; Mod. Phys. Lett. A **11** (1996) 103 [hep-ph/9504357]; Phys. Atom. Nucl. **59** (1996) 2137 [Yad. Fiz. **59** (1996) 2219]; L. L. Jenkovszky, A. Kotikov and F. Paccanoni, Phys. Lett. B **314** (1993) 421.

[46] A. B. Kaidalov, C. Merino and D. Pertermann, Eur. Phys. J. C **20** (2001) 301 [hep-ph/0004237]; A. Capella, A. Kaidalov, C. Merino and J. Tran Thanh Van, Phys. Lett. B **337**, 358 (1994) [hep-ph/9405338]; A. B. Kaidalov and C. Merino, Eur. Phys. J. C **10** (1999) 153 [hep-ph/9806367].

[47] A. Donnachie and P. V. Landshoff, Acta Phys. Polon. B **34** (2003) 2989 [hep-ph/0305171].

[48] E. Gotsman, E. Levin and U. Maor, Phys. Rev. D **88** (2013) 114027 [arXiv:1308.6660 [hep-ph]]; Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 7, 071501 [arXiv:1302.4524 [hep-ph]]: Phys. Lett. B **716** (2012) 425 [arXiv:1208.0898 [hep-ph]]; Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 094007 [arXiv:1203.2419 [hep-ph]]; Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 051502 [arXiv:1103.4509 [hep-ph]]; Eur. Phys. J. C **71** (2011) 1685 [arXiv:1101.5816 [hep-ph]]; Eur. Phys. J. C **71** (2011) 1553 [arXiv:1010.5323 [hep-ph]].

[49] A. B. Kaidalov, Phys. Usp. **46** (2003) 1121 [Usp. Fiz. Nauk **46** (2003) 1153]; I. M. Dremin and A. B. Kaidalov, Phys. Usp. **49** (2006) 263 [Usp. Fiz. Nauk **176** (2006) 275].

[50] R. C. Brower, M. S. Costa, M. Djuri, T. Raben and C. I. Tan, "Strong Coupling Expansion for the Conformal Pomeron/Odderon Trajectories," arXiv:1409.2730 [hep-th].